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**ABSTRACT**

Workshop 2 focused on the role of BRT as part of enhanced public transport service provision. Discussion topics included case studies around the world; improved performance and operations; and better contracts, institutional settings and enhanced policies.  BRT was identified as a vital component of modern public transport systems due to its ability to provide high performance and rapid implementation at a lower cost than comparable rail transit. The participants concluded that on top of improving trunk transit corridors, it is important to look to the first and last kilometers and the connections among transport modes.  In addition, that it is important to consider all dimensions, not just the technical issues. The workshop identified the desirable ingredients for BRT success, created a table of bus based options for different applications and a list of research topics.
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1. **INTRODUCTION**

Cities around the world urgently need mobility improvements to face the increasing challenges of congestion, air pollution, climate change, injuries and deaths from traffic incidents, negative health impacts and social exclusion. Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) systems are fast becoming public transport systems of choice on high density urban corridors in developed and developing countries to help address these urgent needs. BRT systems are not only relatively easier to implement and more flexible than light rail/tram systems but are often less expensive to implement and operate.

This report summarizes the discussions of Workshop 2 “Bus Rapid Transit as part of Enhanced Service Provision”, conducted during the THREDBO 12 Conference in Durban, South Africa, from 11 to 15 September 2011.

The workshop focused on the role of BRTs as part of enhanced public transport service provision. The workshop key questions were:

* Are these systems delivering on expectations of enhanced service provision?
* When do we consider BRT systems as opposed to conventional public bus systems and other options including light and heavy rail?
* What are the lessons that we can learn from the design, contracting, implementation, performance measurement and monitoring of BRT systems in developed and developing countries?
* Are these lessons equally applicable between developed and developing countries?

Participants of this workshop included 25 researchers and practitioners from around the world. The workshop was divided into three parts, in which 13 papers were presented followed by focused discussions. The topics were: 1.Case studies around the world; 2.Improved performance and operations; 3.Better contracts and institutional settings and enhanced policies. The following sections summarize the findings of the four parts in which the workshop was divided.

1. **Case Studies around the World**

Case studies presented included Johannesburg (Venter, 2011), Cape-town (Salazar-Ferro et al. 2011), Bogotá (Hidalgo et al., 2011a), Brazil (Pereira do Nascimento, et al, 2011), Beijing (Nelson and Deng, 2011), and Cambridge (Hodgson et. al, 2011), followed by a lively discussion.

The case of Johannesburg focused on the integration of existing informal taxi operators on the new BRT system and the difficulties thereof. It showed the use of a BRT project to modernize and reform the taxi operators using the theory of technical innovation (life-cycle).

The case of Cape Town, presented the portents and prospects of hybrid urban public transport systems in developing countries. The case showed the difficulties of implementing a network of BRT corridors, the pressure inflicted by incumbent operators and how the authority tends to postpone the more complicated but also the most promising corridors and ends implementing the less conflictive one.

The case of Bogotá presented a cost-benefit analysis (CBA) of the interventions in the first two phases of the TransMilenio BRT system, as well as other impacts not included in the CBA (impacts on crime, job creation, land values, and tax revenues). The study shows a positive evaluation, but also highlights the declining image of the system and the need for improved service quality.

The case of Brazil focused on the history of BRT in this country, the cradle of the concept, and the opportunities it has in the near future, as the cities prepare to host the FIFA World Cup in 2014. The study shows the importance of BRT in structuring and developing the urban environment, and the need for an integrated approach involving industry suppliers, operators, customers and authorities.

The case of Beijing focuses on the performance and impacts of the three BRT corridors implemented in the city. It considers operational efficiency, technical performance, and cost issues, as well as impacts regarding travel behavior change, traffic environment and property development.

The case of Cambridge showed a very high standard BRT service operating between Huntingdon and Cambridge at very high speeds. This experience shows that very high quality BRT can attract demand at a commercial fare in the developed world.

These successful examples of BRT implementation around the world are vital as inspiration. They show BRT´s ability to provide high capacity and high quality services, at a competitive cost and with rapid implementation, making it an attractive option for transport planners and decision makers worldwide. However, they also show that each context is different, and BRT components need to be customized to the particular transport needs in each application and consider carefully the city context.

In addition, the case studies show that the transition to BRT can be difficult due to institutional and financial barriers. BRT planning and implementation usually involves several government agencies and private providers, which make coordination and decision making processes cumbersome. Moreover, BRT projects are often asked to solve problems beyond the technical dimension, like the formalization of para-transit services in developing cities, or the reconstruction of utility networks in the corridors where they are implemented. This creates additional challenges, and in some cases delays and cost escalation. As a result, the scope of some BRT projects tend to be scaled down to a point their impact is shrunk.

The workshop participants indicated that BRT is a transit option with great attractiveness, but not a magic wand. Still the basic principles of good transport planning apply. To address the mobility challenges using BRT, there is a need to understand transportation needs, define clearly the policy objectives, and consider the constraints and opportunities, especially regarding the institutional, urban, social, cultural, economic and political contexts. Timing limitations are also important.

In addition BRT needs to win the heart of the citizens, to assure adequate implementation and sustained performance over time, and to facilitate the acceptance of assigning general traffic lanes to bus services.

1. **Improved performance and operations**

This section included presentations on BRT capacity (Hidalgo et al., 2011b), express service design (Larrain et al., 2011), control strategies (Delgado et al., 2011), and performance (Lindau, et al., 2011), followed by an animated debate.

The paper by Hidalgo et al. (2011b) develops formulas for the estimation of BRT capacity. The study shows that the systematic combination of multiple platforms at stations, overtaking lanes, level boarding, prepayment, large buses with multiple doors, express and local services and traffic engineering measures at intersections, allow for very large passenger throughput. The application of the formulas to the TransMilenio BRT system in Bogotá, Colombia, shows that the capacity can reach 48,000 passengers per hour per direction (given an occupancy level of 150 passengers per bus) which is only around 10% over its current output.

The paper by Larrain et al. (2011), shows the opportunity of enhanced service provision by using express services in combination with local services, and develops a systematic analysis tool for such express service optimization. The application of the tool to a particular corridor in Santiago, Chile, indicates that the higher the demand, the longer the trips, and the more concentrated the demand among few OD pairs, the higher the social outcomes achieved by using express services..

The paper by Delgado et al. (2011), focuses on the opportunities provided by information technologies to reduce bunching in bus systems. The study develops a real time control strategies algorithm, which is tested with a simulation tool. The study shows the great potential real time control strategies have in reducing bunching, improving reliability, reducing waiting times, distributing evenly passenger demand across buses (and therefore improving comfort) and even reducing the cycle time and therefore the required bus fleet for a given frequency. On the contrary, in an uncontrolled system too many passengers wait too much for a crowded bus putting pressure in the authority to add more buses that may not be strictly needed.

The paper by Lindau et al. (2011), explores the performance of BRT systems in terms of capacity and operating speed using a simulation tool. The study shows the opportunity to achieve large performance by combining different BRT elements: spacing of stations, vehicle loadings, quantity of berths, and traffic light positioning in relation to stations, for different demand levels and boarding/aligning rates. The results indicate that it is possible to achieve 15,000 passengers per hour per direction in a single lane.

The workshop presentations indicate that there is a wide range of opportunities for enhance transit service provision with BRT. Some important findings arose in the discussion by the workshop participants:

* Stations usually become bottlenecks first. Similar than rail systems, the stations are the crucial element in defining system capacity.
* Stations and intersections need to be properly engineered. As these elements are the critical components of system performance, adequate care is required.
* Express services are crucial to improve capacity. They also improve quality of the travel experience and reduce costs. Express services clearly differentiate bus systems from rail systems, where the opportunity for skipping stops is limited.
* Real time headway control is crucial in the performance of BRT corridors in terms of waiting time, travel time, reliability and comfort. Lack of real time control puts pressure on the authority for buying more buses.
* Some of these tools should be microsimulated to validate the results obtained with analytical tools or with traditional simulation.

The discussion on performance and operations indicates that there is a need to think beyond conventional wisdom, and use both the intrinsic flexibility of buses and information technologies (ITS) in the most productive way to improve performance. The discussion also highlighted the importance to balance the operational improvements with passenger safety and ease of use. It also showed that there is much to lose if some elements, like express services and control strategies, are not in place.

1. **Better contracts and institutional settings and enhanced policies**

This section included presentations by Finn (2011) on organizational structures and functions, Veras and Macario (2011) on training and education programs, Filipe and Macario (2011) on policy packaging, and Hodgson et al. (2011) on the comparison of BRT and trams.

The paper by Finn (2011) reviews global BRT systems and their institutional and organizational diversity, and the participation opportunities and roles of the private sector. It sets out a framework of the BRT System Owner, BRT System Manager, and BRT Asset Owner(s) and the relationship among them, with examples from different contexts around the world. The framework provided helps in understanding and better designing the institutional settings for BRT implementation.

The paper by Veras and Macario (2011) analyses the knowledge supply and demand in the BRT sector. It sets up a framework for assessment of training educational gaps, which is being applied by the authors to provide recommendations and design academic programs.

The paper by Filipe and Macario (2011) shows the importance of policy packages to create synergies between single policies or to mitigate negative effects of a given policy. The authors develop a framework and present the findings of a first survey on how policy packaging is practiced in the implementation of BRT processes. The study is part of a broader analysis on the complexity of policy design.

The paper by Hodgson et. al (2011), compares BRT and Tram using a systematic approach for comparable designs, in terms of capacity and passenger experience. The authors conclude that capital costs are lower for BRT (1/3rd), operational costs are similar, and CO2 emissions the same (for advanced bus technologies), but nitrogen dioxide emissions are higher for BRT. The authors also indicate that BRT is less vulnerable to variations in passenger demand and can be built in stages.

In the discussion the workshop participants concluded that BRT is leaving its pioneering phase and needs some more formalization within our institutions and policies. It also requires new education and training capacities, based on the industry needs. The participants also indicated that high quality BRT can attract demand at a commercial fare and be less risky than a higher cost LRT.

**CONCLUSION AND TOPICS FOR FURTHER RESEARCH**

The workshop participants indicated that BRT is a vital component of modern public transport systems and must be conceived as part of a multimodal mobility system. Examples around the world, and simulation studies, indicate the ability of BRT to provide high performance, rapid implementation at a lower cost than comparable rail transit.

However, the participants indicated that it is not enough to improve the trunk transit corridors, it is important to look at the full traveling experience, and specially to the first and last kilometers and the connections among transport modes. At the same time, it is important to look beyond the technical characteristics of BRT corridors. All dimensions should be considered, in particular the institutional, financial, and operational aspects, to ensure enhanced service provision to the users.

The workshop participants identified the following desirable ingredients for BRT success:

* Good national transit policy and guidelines
* Ample political leadership and support to ensure co-ordination among several agencies and smooth transition
* Adequate institutional frameworks, especially when public private partnerships are involved
* Satisfactory stakeholder buy-in, especially from existing private operators and the community at large
* Sufficient technical, legal, financial capacities within the planning and implementation team, to assure a good process and fine project design and implementation
* Enough level of funding, including possible subsidies not to sacrifice level of service and keep the BRT system attractive to all type of users and accessible for vulnerable groups of the society
* Adequate mechanisms to involve the community in system´s planning and implementation

The participants also concluded that there exist large opportunities for enhanced operations using information technologies and improved infrastructure.

As bus based transit systems have the opportunity to be applied in a variety of contexts, the workshop participants suggested four types of systems based on throughput and performance (Table 1). This table is only indicative, however; there is a need to design according to the local context.

Regarding research needs related to BRT, the workshop participants listed several topics (Table 2)

**Table 1. Types of Bus-based transit According to Transport Demand Needs and Urban Environment**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Type** | **Main Features** | **Throughput/ Performance** | **Application** |
| Basic Bus Corridor | Median or curbside lanes, on board payment, conventional buses | 500-5,000 pphpd  12-15 km/h | Low density corridors, suburbs |
| Bus of High Level of Service BHLS | Infrastructure, technology and advanced vehicles for enhanced service provision | 500-2,500+ pphpd  15-35 km/h | Small urban areas, historic downtown, suburbs |
| Medium BRT | Single median lanes, off board payment, information technologies | 5,000-15,000 pphpd  18-23 km/h | Medium density corridors, suburb/center connections |
| High Capacity BRT | Dual median lanes physically separated, large stations with prepayment, large buses, information technologies, combined services | 15,000-45,000 pphpd  20-40 km/h | High demand, dense, mixed use corridors, central city |

Source: Elaborated by the authors based on inputs from workshop participants. Note that variations apply and there is a need to design according to the local context.

**Table 2. Research Questions related to BRT**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Topic** | **Research Ideas** |
| Technical design issues | * Safety design and operational guidance * Safe bus design for operation combining traditional service in local arteries with an express leg over a freeway * Universal accessibility * Trunk and feeder system compared with direct service system * User interfaces (how to explain complexity of transit networks to inexperienced or even illiterate users). |
| Assessing Impacts | * Effectiveness of BRT in shifting travel from cars or motorcycle * Policies to boost effectiveness in attracting choice riders * Evidence regarding BRT economic and social impacts |
| Policy design issues | * Roles of para-transit providers in BRT-based transit network * Transforming informal operators without the need of BRT projects * Needs of different user groups (children, elderly and women) * Interaction between BRT and land use densification |
| Economic issues | * Conditions under which BRT systems can recover all operational costs (with and without vehicles). * Need for operational subsidies (magnitude and focus) * Comparison of BRT economics with the modes it replaces * Applicability and desirability of market segmentation |
| Institutional issues | * Capacities needed for the implementation agencies |

Source: Elaborated by the authors based on inputs from workshop participants

**AKNOWLEDGMENTS**

The authors acknowledge the support of the Across Latitudes and Countries-Bus Rapid Transit ALC-BRT Center of Excellence ([www.brt.cl](http://www.brt.cl)), financed by Volvo Research and Educational Foundations VREF ([www.vref.se](http://www.vref.se)). ALC-BRT works as a consortium of Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile (PUC), Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT), Instituto Técnico Superior de Lisboa, The University of Sydney and EMBARQ - The WRI Center for Sustainable Transport, including its network of centers of sustainable transport. The authors also thank the THREDBO 12 organizers for providing such a great venue for learning and collaboration.

**REFERENCES**

Papers presented in the Workshop 2, Thredbo 12, International Conference Series on Competition and Ownership in Land Passenger Transport, Durban, September 2011:

Delgado F., Muñoz J.C. Giesen R. (2011) High frequency BRT control strategies: what can we achieve in time savings, reliability and comfort?

Deng T. and Nelson J.D. (2011) A critical evaluation of bus rapid transit implementation in Beijing

Filipe L.N. and Macario R. (2011) A first glimpse on policy packaging for implementation of BRT projects

Finn B. Organisational structures and functions in bus rapid transit, and opportunities for private sector participation

Hidalgo D., Pereira L., Estupinan N., Jimenez P.L. (2011a) TransMilenio BRT system in Bogota, high performance and positive impact – main results of an ex-post evaluation

Hidalgo D. Lleras G.C, Hernandez E. (2011b) Passenger capacity in bus rapid transit systems formula development and application to the Transmilenio system in Bogota, Colombia

Hodgson P., Potter S., Warren J., Gillingwater D. (2011) Can bus really be the new tram?

Larrain H., Muñoz J.C., Giesen R. (2011) Designing an express service for a bus corridor in Santiago, Chile

Lindau L.A., Medeiros Pereira B., de Castilhoa R.A., Chagas Diógenes M., Herrera J.C. (2011) Impact of design elements on the capacity and speed of Bus Rapid Transit (BRT): the case of a single lane per direction corridor

Pereira do Nascimento H., Gonzalez Rodriguez A., Santana de Morais J., Pricinote M.A., Balieiro Alves M.F., Guilherme de Aragao J.J. (2011) Critical factors for successful implementation of bus rapid transit systems, and Brazil’s empirical experience in the field

Salazar Ferro P., Behrens R., Wilikinson P. (2011) Hybrid urban public transport systems in developing countries: portents and prospects

Venter C. (2011) The lurch towards formalization: lessons from the implementation of BRT in Johannesburg, South Africa

Veras T. and Macario R. (2011) Assessing gaps on training and education for BRT systems